


Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: [www.jmu-online.com](http://www.jmu-online.com)

## ERRATUM

# Erratum to “Growth Profile by Estimated Fetal Weights in Bangladesh” [J Med Ultrasound 20 (2012) 215–219]

Sabrina Q. Rashid\*

*Department of Ultrasound & Imaging, University of Science and Technology, Chittagong, Dhaka, Bangladesh*

The publisher regrets that the following mistakes were not rectified in the article

(1) The sentence “Aloka Ssd-1100, with a 3.5-MHz curvilinear transducer was used for ultrasonographic examinations.” in the 3rd paragraph under the heading “Participants and methods”

Should read “Aloka SSD-1100, with a 3.5-MHz curvilinear transducer was used for ultrasonographic examinations.”

(2) The sentence “Calipers that are open to the outline of fetal HC were used.” in the 6th paragraph under the heading “Participants and Methods”

Should read “Calipers that open to the outline of fetal HC were used.”

(3) The sentences “AC measurements were obtained by tracing the appropriate circumference by calipers that is open to the outline of fetal abdomen. This section, which appears like a “hockey stick” (J shaped), is round with confluence of the portal vein and hepatic portion of the umbilical vein.” in the 6th paragraph under the heading “Participants and methods”

Should read “AC measurements were obtained by tracing the appropriate circumference by calipers that open to the outline of fetal abdomen. This section is round

with confluence of the portal vein and hepatic portion of the umbilical vein, which appears like a “hockey stick” (J shaped).”

(4) The sentence “In different studies conducted in Western countries, at 26 weeks mean EFW was 860 g and at 40 weeks it was 3280 g [15], at 13 weeks the gestational age mean EFW was 73 g (55-91; 95% CI) and at 40 weeks it was 3619 g (2714-4524) [12], at 14 weeks the mean EFW was 93 g and at 40 weeks it was 3788 g [16], at 14 week the mean EFW was 82 g and at 40 week it was 3863 g [17].” in the 6th paragraph under the heading “Discussion”

Should read “In different studies conducted in Western countries, at 26 weeks mean EFW was 860 g and at 40 weeks it was 3280 g [15], at 13 weeks the gestational age mean EFW was 73 g (55-91 g; 95% CI) and at 40 weeks it was 3619 g (2714-4524) [12], at 14 weeks the mean EFW was 93 g and at 40 weeks it was 3788 g [16], at 14 weeks the mean EFW was 82 g and at 40 weeks it was 3863 g [17].”

(5) In Table 2, in the 6th row and 3rd column, the entry “Ott WJ (Germany)”

Should read “Ott WJ”.

The publisher would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmu.2012.10.008>.

\* 88 DOHS Banani, Dhaka Cantonment, Dhaka 1206, Bangladesh.

E-mail address: [drsabrinaq@yahoo.com](mailto:drsabrinaq@yahoo.com).